

	Comments by CSO	ISDS	Regulatory Cooperation	Link
AFET	Draft Opinion not good...//...The vote in AFET yesterday confirmed the reciprocal ISDS conditionality by EPP/ECR and S&D: because the ISDS amendment of SD/Howitt (AM 43) was voted down with 27:36:1, the SD Group voted against the final opinion (35:20:5)	No mention	Need for reg co (global standards argument). If reg co chapter created it should not hinder independent legislative decision	<a href="#">EP text</a>
DEVE	Call for transparency, High Standards in all sectors, No lowering of multilateral agenda, TTIP to have different effects in third countries	No mention	No mention	<a href="#">EP text</a>
INTA				
ECON	Medium outcome - good position on regulatory cooperation + positive list approach	No judgement on ISDS - any mechanism to uphold democratic scrutiny, transparency and right to regulate	No mention	<a href="#">EP text</a>
EMPL	To take steps to uphold a 'positive listing' approach in the agreement in relation to the chapter on trade in services (...) "To reject any agreement that might pose a threat to labour standards in Europe...//...The vote in EMPL was atypical in this scheme mainly because of the split of the ALDE Group. The final vote of 30 : 18 : 3, which sees the ALDE Group split between PLUS and Abstention. I guess that ALDE would have voted against, if they would not have had the rapporteur (Harkin) which voted in favour of the final opinion.	No to ISDS	Focus on no lowering of standards, guarantee Member States right to legislate	<a href="#">EP text</a>
ENVI	Clear statement of opposition to ISDS. Good list of issues that should be safeguarded, and exclusion of five key areas (public health services, GMOS, use of hormones in the bovine sector, REACH and its implementation, cloning). Strict limits on Reg Co – only where EU and US have similar level of protection or if upward harmonisation can be achieved. Greater trade negotiation transparency. Final text adopted by overwhelming majority 29:8:2	No to ISDS	Limit	
ITRE	Not good	No mention	"...welcome regulatory alignment and recognition..."	<a href="#">EP text</a>
IMCO	Not a great outcome but a huge improvement on the rapporteur draft.	No mention (hence narrow margin of acceptance as S&D voted against)	Weaker than draft but still have mutual recognition, precautionary principle stayed	<a href="#">EP text</a>
TRAN	Rejected			
AGRI	The outcome is not very good and far from the one in ENVI. The opinion is supportive of consumer interests, food safety, and the precautionary principle but in favour of a reformed ISDS and a comprehensive regulatory cooperation.	Yes to modified ISDS		
CULT		No reference to ISDS		
JURI	All MEP Voss compromise amendments on ISDS were rejected. The final vote was very confused, 12 votes were in favor of the Opinion without the compromises and with strong word against ISDS, 11 were against. Voss has withdrawn his name from the Opinion and Koster, Socialist Member strongly opposing ISDS, is the new rapporteur for Opinion. A more detailed analysis will follow, but this an extraordinary victory as the JURI committee is a key committee to express his opinion on ISDS.	No to ISDS		
LIBE	Requests for the exclusion of data protection and privacy in both TTIP and TiSA. Asks for the inclusion of a horizontal clause to exempt "the existing and future EU legal framework on the protection of personal data from the agreement; Recalls the EP Resolution against mass surveillance	The good part is that it calls for conflicts related to fundamental rights to only be settled by courts; the "less-great" part is that instead of asking to exclude ISDS from TTIP, it asks the Commission to ensure that ISDS does not prevent equal access to justice or undermine democracy	No mention	<a href="#">EP text</a>
AFCO	Good outcome. Strong language around access to documents and transparency; limiting role of Regulatory Cooperation Council; mandatory transparency register in order to have a full overview on the lobbying activities associated with the TTIP negotiations  Result of the final vote where close but still in our favour: 13 in favour (6 S&D, 2 GUE, 2 Greens/EFA, 2 EFDD and 1 ECR), 9 against (EPP and ALDE), 2 abstentions (1 ECR and 1 NI).	Rejects ISDS	limitation of role	
PETI	PETI is certainly a unique committee, with a different logic. Maybe because it is kind of the 'citizens' committee'. Final text is rather critical towards TTIP, criticises the lack of transparency, alarms and puts some red lines on its scope... and clearly rejects ISDS.  The exceptional thing is that the Opinion stating this has been adopted by 25+, 2- and 3 abst.	Rejects ISDS		